

Linear Model: Where were we last time?

Did I do nonlinear models yet? Yes, I think so?

1) start with simple approach

$$\vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} r_c \\ \tau \end{pmatrix} \text{ @ } 800 \text{ nm e.g.}$$

2) Write down cost function

$$J(x) = \chi^2(\vec{x}) = (\vec{y} - F(\vec{x}))^T S_y^{-1} (\vec{y} - F(\vec{x})) + (x - x_0)^T S_x^{-1} (x - x_0)$$

3) Gauss-Newton Minimization

Blind man down the hill

$$\vec{x}_{i+1} = \vec{x}_i + (K^T S_y^{-1} K + S_x^{-1})^{-1} (K^T S_y^{-1} (\vec{y} - F(\vec{x}_i)) + S_x^{-1} (\vec{x}_0 - \vec{x}_i))$$

4) Stop iterating. Assume/hope this is global minimum. Typically χ^2 is ~~linear~~ quadratic near here.

5) \hat{x} is the "optimal estimate".

$$\hat{x} + S (K^T S_y^{-1} \hat{y} + S_x^{-1} \hat{x}_A)$$

$$= G \hat{y} + (I-A) \hat{x}_A$$

$$\hat{x}_A + S K^T S_y^{-1} (\hat{y} - K \hat{x}_A)$$

$$= \hat{x}_A + G \hat{y} - A \hat{x}_A$$

$$\hat{x} = (I-A) \hat{x}_A + G \hat{y}$$

$$S_A = \begin{bmatrix} 10^2 \mu m^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta \theta^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_y = \begin{bmatrix} 2.5 \cdot 10^{-5} & 0 \\ 0 & 2.5 \cdot 10^{-5} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K = 10^{-3} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1.8 & 4.6 \\ -16.7 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_e \\ \tau \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice this is a direct expression of weighted avg of prior & measurement.

G is a (n, m) matrix.

The "gain matrix"

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} (m, n)$$

$$y \sim K \hat{x}$$

So

$$y_1 \sim -1.8 r_e + 4.6 \tau$$

$$y_2 \sim -16.7 r_e + 0 \tau$$

No dependence on τ . implies direct constraint on r_e from y_2 !

Continuing.

$$\hat{x} \sim (I-A) \hat{x}_A + G \hat{y}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_e \\ \tau \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} -0.003 & -60 \\ 219 & -23 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

or $\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_G$

$$r_e \sim -60 y_2$$

$$\tau \sim 219 y_1 - 23 y_2$$

r_e taken mostly from y_2 (basically all)

What did this buy us?

Key is \hat{S} : This is an "posterior error".

3

$$\hat{S} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.09 & .033 \\ .033 & 1.15 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\hat{x}_1}^2 = 0.3 & \rho_{12} = 10.5\% \\ \rho_{12} = 10.5\% & \sigma_{\hat{x}_2}^2 = 1.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Next: Write

In linear case:

$$\hat{x} = A \hat{x}_{true} + (I-A) \hat{x}_A + G \hat{e}$$

where does this come from?

$$\hat{x} = \hat{S} (K^T S_y^{-1} \hat{y} + S_x^{-1} \hat{x}_A)$$

$$\text{let } \hat{y} = K \hat{x}_{true} + \hat{e}$$

then

$$\hat{x} = \hat{S} \underbrace{K^T S_y^{-1} K}_{A=GK} \hat{x}_{true} + \hat{S} S_x^{-1} \hat{x}_A + \underbrace{\hat{S} K^T S_y^{-1}}_{\hat{G}} \hat{e}$$

$$\hat{x} = A \hat{x}_{true} + \hat{S} S_x^{-1} \hat{x}_A + G \hat{e}$$

{only true in strictly linear case!}

$$\hat{S} S_x^{-1} + A = \hat{S} S_x^{-1} + \hat{S} S_x^{-1} (K^T S_y^{-1} K + S_x^{-1})$$

$$= \hat{S} \left[S_x^{-1} + K^T S_y^{-1} K \right] = I \quad \text{show in more steps!}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{S} S_x^{-1} + A = I$$

$$\hat{S} S_x^{-1} = I - A$$

$$A = I - \hat{S} S_x^{-1}$$

Alternatively

$$\hat{x} = \hat{x}_{true} + \hat{S} S_x^{-1} (\hat{x}_A - \hat{x}_{true}) + G \hat{e}$$

\hat{x} : best estimate ~~estimate~~ $E\{\hat{x}_{true}\}$? (5)

$\hat{\Sigma}$: covariance of best estimate
 $E\{(\hat{x} - \hat{x}_{true})(\hat{x} - \hat{x}_{true})^T\}$ what to, 20 limits
Look like.

~~P(x|y)~~ $P(x|y)$ can typically be represented as a gaussian near $x = \hat{x}$.

$\hat{x}_0, \hat{\Sigma}_0$: Prior knowledge

\hat{y} : the measurement

$\hat{F}(\hat{x})$: the forward model. $\hat{y} = \hat{F}(\hat{x}) + \hat{\epsilon}$

$\hat{\epsilon}$: just noise + measurement error.

χ^2 : $P(x|y) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2(\hat{x})}$

At the solution

G: the gain matrix (n,m)

A: the Aug. kernel (n,n)
Matrix

$d_s = \text{tr}(A)$ "deg of freedom for signal"

$d_n = m - d_s$ " " " " " noise"

example of G use:

Suppose inst tells you they recalibrated inst and $\hat{y} \rightarrow \hat{y} + \delta\hat{y}$. Can we estimate change in \hat{x} w/o repeating minimization?

Repeat last step in minimization
old \hat{y} : $\hat{x} = \hat{x}_0 + G(\hat{y} - \hat{F}(\hat{x}_0)) = \hat{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\hat{x}_0 - \hat{x}_0)$
new \hat{y} : $\hat{x} = \hat{x}_0 + G(\hat{y} + \delta\hat{y} - \hat{F}(\hat{x}_0)) = \hat{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\hat{x}_0 - \hat{x}_0)$

$\boxed{\text{new } \hat{y} = \text{old } \hat{y} + G\delta\hat{y}}$

this why its called the "gain matrix"

Error Analysis (for Chits)

$$\vec{y} = f(\vec{x}, \vec{b}) + \vec{E}$$

↑
true forward model

or

$$\vec{y} = \vec{F}(\vec{x}, \vec{b}) + \vec{E}_m + \vec{E}_i$$

↑
Fm error

↑
inst noise

$$\hat{\vec{x}} - \vec{x}_{true} = A\vec{x}_{true} - I\hat{\vec{x}} + (I-A)\hat{\vec{x}} + G\vec{E}$$

$$= (A-I)\hat{\vec{x}} + (I-A)\hat{\vec{x}} + G\vec{E}$$

$$= (A-I)(\hat{\vec{x}} - \vec{x}_{true}) + G\vec{E} \quad 3.16$$

missing two terms.

- "smoothing" error.
 it is directly dependence of $\hat{\vec{x}}$ on prior.
- inst noise.
 random.
- depends on $A-I$: (ident A is I) and how far a true prior is from truth.
- Can easily be systematic.

Next approx

$$\vec{y} = F(\vec{x}, \vec{b}) + \vec{E}$$

and $\vec{b} \neq \vec{b}_{true}$

then

$$\vec{y} \approx \vec{F}(\vec{x}, \vec{b}_{true}) + \frac{\partial \vec{F}}{\partial \vec{b}} (\vec{b} - \vec{b}_{true}) + \vec{E}$$

we know how this goes!

changes $\hat{\vec{x}}$ by the gain matrix, so

$$\hat{\vec{x}} - \vec{x}_{true} = (A-I)(\vec{x}_{true} - \hat{\vec{x}}) + G\hat{K}_b(\vec{b}_{true} - \vec{b}) + G\vec{E}$$

errors in unfit parameters

• Requires \hat{K}_b .

~~2/10~~

Note: B/c $K^2 = K_m^2 + K_A^2$

AND $K_m^2 = (y - F(x))^T S_y^{-1} (y - F(x))$

this will change the K^2 .

It will therefore move the minimum more towards the prior. Depending on K_0 , it will even change channel's relative weights. This is very powerful!

Albedo error in R/L.

Next Topic: Opposite of inverse model: the ~~step~~ forward model problem!

Suppose general function

$\vec{z} = h(\vec{x})$ A "Derived Quantity"

Very common to see this.

Example

$\hat{x}_{cor} = \hat{h}^T \hat{u}_{cor}$
↑ ↑
Atmos. profile
"Weighting Function"

$(c, d) \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}c + s_{12}d \\ s_{21}c + s_{22}d \end{pmatrix} = \frac{s_{11}c^2 + s_{11}cd + s_{12}cd + s_{12}d^2}{s_{11}c^2 + s_{21}c^2 + s_{12}cd + s_{21}cd + s_{22}d^2}$
 $\approx s_{11}c^2 + s_{22}d^2 + 2s_{12}cd$

RWP → RR. etc.

If errors in \hat{x} are relatively small, Again Assume $h(\vec{x})$ is linear in neighborhood encompassing \hat{x} errors.

Then Find $K_z = \frac{\partial \vec{h}}{\partial \vec{x}}$ (Matrix or vector)
(2 scalar then $K_z = \text{row vector}$)

$\hat{S}_z = K_z \hat{S} K_z^T$

ex $z = cx_1 + dx_2$ then

$S_z = (c, d) \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \hat{s}_{11}c^2 + \hat{s}_{22}d^2 + 2\hat{s}_{12}cd$